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#### Abstract

A Hausdorff graph $G$ is a simple graph in which any two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ satisfy atleast one of the following conditions: (i) both $u$ and $v$ are isolated vertices (ii) either $u$ or $v$ is an isolated vertex (iii) there exists two non-adjacent edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ of $G$ such that $e_{1}$ is incident with $u$ and $e_{2}$ is incident with $v$. In this paper, we investigate Hausdorff property on transformation graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

In [5], eight types of transformation graph were introduced and their basic properties were studied. Several authors have worked on these eight types of transformation graph separately. In [2], B. Wu, L. Zhang, Z. Zhang obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for $G^{-++}$to be hamiltonian.

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple undirected graph and $x, y, z$ be three variables taking values + or - . The transformation graph $G^{x y z}$ is the graph having $V(G) \cup E(G)$ as a vertex set, and two vertices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $G^{x y z}$ are adjacent if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (i) for $\alpha, \beta \in V(G), \alpha$ and $\beta$ are adjacent in $G$ if $x=+; \alpha$ and $\beta$ are not adjacent in $G$ if $x=-$ (ii) for $\alpha, \beta \in E(G), \alpha$ and $\beta$ are adjacent in $G$ if $y=+; \alpha$ and $\beta$ are not adjacent in $G$ if $y=-$ (iii) for $\alpha \in V(G), \beta \in E(G), \alpha$ and $\beta$ are incident in $G$ if $z=+; \alpha$ and $\beta$ are not incident in $G$ if $z=-$.

[^0]Seena and Raji introduced Hausdorff properties in [3] and they discussed Hausdorff property of some derived graphs. A Hausdorff graph $G$ is a simple graph in which any two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ satisfy atleast one of the following conditions: (i) both $u$ and $v$ are isolated vertices (ii) either $u$ or $v$ is an isolated vertex (iii) there exists two non-adjacent edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ of $G$ such that $e_{1}$ is incident with $u$ and $e_{2}$ is incident with $v$. Terms not defined are used in the sense of [1]. We use the following theorems for proving main results.

Theorem 1.1. 5] For a graph $G, G^{+y z} \cong G$ if and only if $G$ is an empty graph.

Theorem 1.2. [2] For a graph $G, G^{-++}$is Hamiltonian if and only if $|V(G)| \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.3. [3] Any Hamiltonian graph with more than 3 vertices is Hausdorff.
In this paper, we obtain results on $G^{+++}, G^{---}, G^{-++}$and $G^{+--}$.

## 2. Main Result

In this section, we obtain necessary and sufficient condition for $G^{+++}$to be Hausdorff, sufficient condition for $G^{---}$to be non-Hausdorff and sufficient condition for $G^{-++}$and $G^{+--}$to be Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.1. The transformation graaph $G^{+++}$of a graph $G$ is Hausdorff if and only if $G$ has no copy of $K_{2}$ as a component.

Proof. Assume that $G$ has no copy of $K_{2}$ as a component. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be two distinct vertices of $G^{+++}$.
case 1: $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G)$.
Subcase (i) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are isolated in $G$.
Then $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are isolated in $G^{+++}$.
Subcase (ii) $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is isolated in $G$.
Then $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is isolated in $G^{+++}$.

Subcase (iii) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are adjacent vertices of $G$.
Let $e_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}$. By hypothesis, there exists a vertex $u_{3}$ such that $u_{3}$ is adjacent to either $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. Suppose that $u_{3}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$ in $G$. Then clearly $u_{2} e_{1}$ and $u_{1} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$. Suppose that $u_{3}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$ in $G$. Then clearly $u_{1} e_{1}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$.

Subcase (iv) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are non adjacent vertices of $G$.
Since $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are not isolated, there exists two distinct edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ such that $e_{1}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is incident with $u_{2}$. Then $u_{1} e_{1}$ and $u_{2} e_{2}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$.
Case 2: $u_{1}, u_{2} \in E(G)$.
Since $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, there exists two distinct vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ such that $u_{1}$ is incident with $u_{3}$ and $u_{2}$ is incident with $u_{4}$. Then $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $u_{2} u_{4}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$.
Case 3: $u_{1} \in V(G)$ and $u_{2}\left(\right.$ say $\left.e_{1}\right) \in E(G)$.
Subcase (i) $e_{1}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ in $G$.
Let $e_{1}=u_{1} u_{3}$ be an edge of $G$. By hypothesis, there exists an edge $e_{2}$ different from $e_{1}$ such that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are adjacent in $G$. Then $e_{1} e_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$.
Subcase (ii) $e_{1}$ is not incident with $u_{1}$ in $G$.
Suppose $u_{1}$ is isolated in $G$. Then $u_{1}$ is isolated in $G^{+++}$. Suppose $u_{1}$ is not isolated in $G$. Then there exists an edge $e_{2}$ incident with $u_{1}$ in $G$. Let $u_{3}$ be one endpoint of $e_{1}$ in $G$. Then clearly $u_{1} e_{2}$ and $e_{1} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+++}$. Thus $G^{+++}$is Hausdorff.

Conversely, Assume that $G^{+++}$is Hausdorff. Suppose $K_{2}$ is one of the component of $G$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be two distinct vertices of $G^{+++}$. Suppose $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V\left(K_{2}\right)$. Let $e_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}$ be an edge of $K_{2}$. Then $V\left(G^{+++}\right) \supseteq\left\{e_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. For these three vertices of $G^{+++}$, hausdorff property is not true. Therefore $G$ has no copy of $K_{2}$ as a component.

Theorem 2.2. The transformation graph $G^{---}$of a graph $G$ is not Hausdorff if $G \cong K_{1, r} \cup K_{1}$ or $G \cong K_{1, r}+e$ where $e$ is an edge and $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Suppose $G \cong K_{1, r} \cup K_{1}$. Then $G$ consists of an isolated vertex $u_{1}$ and a vertex $u_{2}$ such that $u_{2}$ is adjacent to every other vertices of $G$ other than $u_{1}$. Hence by the definition of the transformation graph $G^{---}, u_{2}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$ in $G^{---}$ and no other vertices of $G^{---}$is adjacent to $u_{2}$. So $\operatorname{deg} u_{2}=1$ in $G^{---}$. Therefore $G^{---}$is not Hausdorff.

Suppose $G \cong K_{1, r}+e$. Then $G$ consists of a vertex $u$ such that $u$ is adjacent to every other vertices of $G$ and $e$ is an edge of $G$ not incident with $u$. Hence by the definition of the transformation graph $G^{---}, u$ is adjacent to $e$ in $G^{---}$and no other vertices of $G^{---}$is adjacent to $u$. So $\operatorname{deg} u=1$ in $G^{---}$. Therefore $G^{---}$is not Hausdorff.

Remark 1. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. For a graph $K_{3}^{c}, G^{---}$is not Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ be any graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then $G^{-++}$is Hausdorff.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, $G^{-++}$is Hamiltonian. Hence by Theorem 1.3, it is Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.4. If $G$ is an empty graph then $G^{+y z}$ is Hausdorff.
Proof. By Theorem 1.1, $G^{+y z}$ is an empty graph. Hence it is Hausdorff.
Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ be any graph of order $n \geq 4$. If $G$ has no copy of $K_{2}$ as a component then $G^{+--}$is Hausdorff.

Proof. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be two distinct vertices of $G^{+--}$. Suppose $G$ is a empty graph, then $G^{+--}$is a empty graph. Therefore $G^{+--}$is Hausdorff. Suppose $G$ is not a empty graph.

Case 1: $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G)$.
Subcase (i) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are isolated in $G$.
Since $G$ is not a empty graph, there exists at least one edge $e_{1}$. By hypothesis, there exists an edge $e_{2}$ such that $e_{2}$ is adjacent to $e_{1}$. Then $u_{1} e_{1}$ and $u_{2} e_{2}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.
Subcase (ii) $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ is isolated in $G$.
Suppose $u_{1}$ is isolated in $G$. Since $u_{2}$ is not islated in $G$, there exists a vertex $u_{3}$ such that $u_{3}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$. Let $e_{1}=u_{2} u_{3}$. Then $u_{1} e_{1}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.
Subcase (iii) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are adjacent vertices of $G$.
Let $e_{1}=u_{1} u_{2}$. By hypothesis, there exists another edge $e_{2}$ of $G$ which is incident with either $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. Let us take $e_{2}=u_{1} u_{3}$. Then $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $u_{2} e_{2}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.

Subcase (iv) $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are non-adjacent vertices of $G$.
Since $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, there exists two distinct edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ such that $e_{1}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is incident with $u_{2}$. Then $u_{1} e_{2}$ and $u_{2} e_{1}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.

Case 2: $u_{1}, u_{2} \in E(G)$.
Since $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, there exists two distinct vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ of $G$ such that $u_{1}$ is incident with $u_{3}$ and $u_{2}$ is incident with $u_{4}$. Then $u_{1} u_{4}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.

Case 3: $u_{1} \in V(G), u_{2}\left(=e_{1}\right) \in E(G)$.
Subcase (i) $e_{1}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ in $G$.
Let $e_{1}=u_{1} u_{3}$. By hypothesis, there exists an edge $e_{2}$ different from $e_{1}$ such that $e_{2}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ or $u_{3}$. Let us suppose that $e_{2}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$. Let us take $e_{2}=u_{1} u_{4}$. Then $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $e_{1} u_{4}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.
Subcase (ii) $e_{1}$ is not incident with $u_{1}$ in $G$.
Suppose $u_{1}$ is isolated in $G$. Let $e_{1}=u_{3} u_{4}$. By hypothesis, there exists an edge $e_{2}$ which is incident with either $u_{3}$ or $u_{4}$. Let us take $e_{2}=u_{4} u_{5}$. Then $u_{1} e_{2}$ and $e_{1} u_{3}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$. Suppose $u_{1}$ is not isolated in $G$. Then $u_{1}$ is
adjacent to a vertex $u_{3}$ in $G$. Let us take $e_{2}=u_{1} u_{3}$. Let $u_{4}$ be an endpoint of $e_{1}$ in $G$. Suppose $e_{1}$ is adjacent to $e_{2}$ in $G$. Then $e_{1}$ is incident with $u_{3}$. Since $n \geq 4$, there exists a vertex $u_{5}$ not incident with $e_{1}$ other than $u_{1}$. Then clearly $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $e_{1} u_{5}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$. Suppose $e_{1}$ is not adjacent to $e_{2}$ in $G$. Then $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $e_{1} e_{2}$ are two non-adjacent edges of $G^{+--}$.

Thus by all the above cases $G^{+--}$is Hausdorff.
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