



Forced Oscillation of Solutions of Fractional Neutral Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations

¹V.Sadhasivam, ²J.Kavitha and ³N.Nagajothi

Received on 22 December 2017, Accepted on 2 May 2018

ABSTRACT. In this article, we investigate the oscillation of fractional order neutral partial differential equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha} [u(x,t) + \lambda(t)u(x,t-\sigma)] + r(t)D_{+,t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) &= a(t)\Delta u(x,t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^l a_j(t)\Delta u(x,t-\tau_j) - p(x,t)F\left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x,s)ds\right) \\ &+ f(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times R_+ = G. \end{aligned}$$

Using the generalized Riccati technique and integral averaging method, new oscillation criteria are established.

Subject classification: 34K37, 35B05, 35R11.

1. INTRODUCTION

Neutral differential equations are functional differential equation in which the highest order derivative of the unknown function appear both with and without deviations. The neutral differential equations arise in many areas of applied mathematics. During the last two decades there has been a lot of interest towards the study of qualitative theory of neutral differential equations. A good guide concerning the literature for ordinary neutral functional differential equation [14,29] and the references cited therein. The neutral delay differential equations arise in modeling of the networks containing lossless transmission lines

¹Corresponding Author: E-mail: ovsadha@gmail.com, ²kaviakshita@gmail.com

^{1,2,3}PG and Research Department of Mathematics Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College (Affli. to Periyar University), Rasipuram - 637 401, Namakkal Dt., Tamil Nadu, S.India.

(as in high-speed switching circuits), second order neutral delay differential equations appear in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar, as the Euler equation in some variational problems, theory of automatic control and in neuromechanical systems in which inertia plays an important role. See [16,34]. On the other hand, for partial neutral functional differential equations we refer to the reader to [15,35] and the references cited therein. The investigation of the problem of oscillation and nonoscillation of neutral delay differential equations has been initiated by [36]. Recently, researchers have established some oscillation results for partial functional equations we refer the reader to [3,11,22] for parabolic equation and to [2,4-6,19] for hyperbolic equations.

In the last few years, the problem of oscillation of solutions of fractional order differential equation have received a great deal of attention. we refer in particular to the papers [7,10,12,13]. For general back round on fractional differential equations (see the monographs [1,8,9,20,21,23,29,30,31,37]). However, it seems that very little is known regarding the oscillatory behavior of fractional order partial differential equations [18,24-27,32,33] and the references cited therein.

In [33], Wei Nian Li investigated the oscillation properties for solutions of a kind of partial fractional differential equations with damping term of the form

$$D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha}u(x,t) + p(t)D_{+,t}^{\alpha}(u(x,t)) = a(t)\Delta u(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(t)\Delta u(x,t - \tau_i) - q(x,t) \left(\int_0^t (t - \xi)^{-\alpha} u(x,\xi) d\xi \right), \quad (x,t) \in G = \Omega \times R_+.$$

It seems that there has been no attempt made on $(1 + \alpha)^{th}$ order fractional neutral partial differential equation. Motivated by this, we study the following equation (1.1). In this paper, we obtain some new oscillation criteria for fractional order neutral partial differential equations with damping term of the form

$$D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha} [u(x,t) + \lambda(t)u(x,t - \sigma)] + r(t)D_{+,t}^{\alpha}u(x,t) = a(t)\Delta u(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j(t)\Delta u(x,t - \tau_j) - p(x,t)F \left(\int_0^t (t - s)^{-\alpha} u(x,s) ds \right) + f(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times R_+ = G, \quad (1)$$

Ω is a bounded domain in R^n with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is a constant, $D_{+,t}^\alpha$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α of u with respect to t and $\Delta u(x, t) = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x_r^2}$ is the Laplacian operator in the Euclidean n - space R^n .

Equation (1.1) is associated with the boundary conditions, namely

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \gamma} + \psi(x, t)u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+, \quad (2)$$

where γ is the unit outward drawn normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and $\psi(x, t)$ is nonnegative continuous function on $\partial\Omega \times R_+$ and

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+. \quad (3)$$

We assume the following conditions throughout this paper without mentioning that

- (A₁) $\lambda \in C^{1+\alpha}([0, \infty); [0, \infty))$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and σ is a nonnegative constant;
- (A₂) $r \in C([0, \infty); [0, \infty))$, $a \in C([0, \infty); [0, \infty))$, $a_j \in C([0, \infty); [0, \infty))$ and τ_j are nonnegative constant, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l$;
- (A₃) $p \in C(\bar{G}; R_+)$ and $p(t) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x, t)$;
- (A₄) $F \in C(R; R)$ is convex in $[0, \infty)$, and $uF(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$ and there exists a positive constants ρ such that $\frac{F(u)}{u} \geq \rho$ for $u \neq 0$;
- (A₅) $f \in C(\bar{G}; R)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} f(x, t)dx \leq 0$.

By a solution of the problem (1.1),(1.2) (or (1.1),(1.3)), we mean a function $u(x, t) \in C^{1+\alpha}(G) \cap C^\alpha(\bar{G})$ which satisfies (1.1) on G and the associated boundary condition (1.2)(or (1.3)).

The solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1), (1.2) or (1.1), (1.3) is said to be oscillatory in the domain G if for any positive number θ there exists a point $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [\theta, \infty)$ such that $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$ holds.

Our main aim of this paper is to establish new oscillation criteria for (1.1), (1.2) and (1.1), (1.3) by using generalized Riccati technique method.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give the definitions of fractional derivatives and integrals which are useful throughout this paper, there are several kinds of definitions of fractional derivatives and integrals. In this paper, we use the Riemann-Liouville left sided definition on the half axis R_+ .

Definition 2.1. [20] The Riemann-Liouville fractional partial derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$ with respect to t of a function $u(x, t)$ is given by

$$(D_{+,t}^\alpha u)(x, t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\nu)^{-\alpha} u(x, \nu) d\nu \quad (4)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on R_+ , where Γ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [20] The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $y : R_+ \rightarrow R$ on the half-axis R_+ is given by

$$(I_+^\alpha y)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\nu)^{\alpha-1} y(\nu) d\nu \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad (5)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on R_+ .

Definition 2.3. [20] The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $y : R_+ \rightarrow R$ on the half-axis R_+ is given by

$$(D_+^\alpha y)(t) := \frac{d^{[\alpha]}}{dt^{[\alpha]}} \left(I_+^{[\alpha]-\alpha} y \right) (t) \quad \text{for } t > 0 \quad (6)$$

provided the right hand side is pointwise defined on R_+ , where $[\alpha]$ is the ceiling function of α .

We need the following lemmas in proving our main results.

Lemma 2.4. [24] Let

$$K(t) := \int_0^t (t-\nu)^{-\alpha} y(\nu) d\nu \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \text{and } t > 0. \quad (7)$$

Then $K'(t) = \Gamma(1-\alpha)(D_+^\alpha y)(t)$.

Lemma 2.5. [20] Let $\alpha \geq 0, m \in N$ and $D = \frac{d}{dt}$. If the fractional derivatives $(D_+^\alpha y)(t)$ and $(D_+^{\alpha+m} y)(t)$ exists

$$D^m((D_+^\alpha y)(t)) = (D_+^{\alpha+m} y)(t). \quad (8)$$

Lemma 2.6. [17] If X and Y are nonnegative, then

$$mXY^{m-1} - X^m \leq (m-1)Y^m. \quad (9)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we establish the oscillation of the problem (1.1), (1.2). We start with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ hold. Assume that for some $t_0 > 0$ and $F'(v)$ exists such that $F'(v) \geq \mu$ for some $\mu > 0$ and for all $v \neq 0$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t r(s) ds \right) dt = \infty, \quad (10)$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^t \left[v(s)p(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad (11)$$

where

$$v(t) = \exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^t r(s) ds \right), t \geq t_0. \quad (12)$$

Then every solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is oscillatory in G .

Proof. Let us prove this theorem by the method of contradiction. Assume that there is a non-oscillatory solution $u(x, t)$ to the problem (1.1), (1.2) which has no zero in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $u(x, t) > 0, u(x, t - \tau_j) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \sigma) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$ for $t_1 \geq t_0$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l$. Integrating (1.1) over the domain Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha} [u(x,t) + \lambda(t)u(x,t-\sigma)] dx + r(t) \int_{\Omega} D_{+,t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) dx = a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) dx \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t-\tau_j) dx - \int_{\Omega} p(x,t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x,s) ds \right) dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} f(x,t) dx, \quad t \geq t_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using Green's formula and (1.2), it follows

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial \gamma} dS = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi(x,t) u(x,t) dS \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1 \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t-\tau_j) dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(x,t-\tau_j)}{\partial \gamma} dS \\
&= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi(x,t-\tau_j) u(x,t-\tau_j) dS \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where dS is the surface element on $\partial\Omega$.

$$\text{Let } V(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) dx. \tag{16}$$

By using Jensen's inequality and (A_3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} p(x,t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x,s) ds \right) dx &\geq p(t) F \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x,s) ds \right) dx \right) \\
&\geq p(t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x,s) dx \right) ds \right) \\
&\geq p(t) F(K(t)), \quad t \geq t_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By (A_5) ,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x,t) dx \leq 0. \tag{18}$$

Combining (3.5) – (3.9), we have

$$D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha} [V(t) + \lambda(t)V(t-\sigma)] + r(t)D_{+,t}^{\alpha} V(t) \leq -p(t)F(K(t)), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Let

$$z(t) = V(t) + \lambda(t)V(t - \sigma). \quad (19)$$

Then the above inequality becomes,

$$D_+^{1+\alpha} [z(t)] + r(t)D_+^\alpha V(t) + p(t)F(K(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (20)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(D_+^\alpha z(t)) v(t)]' &= D_+^{1+\alpha} (z(t)) v(t) - (D_+^\alpha z(t)) r(t) v(t) \\ &= [-r(t) (D_+^\alpha V(t)) - p(t)F(K(t))] v(t) - (D_+^\alpha z(t)) r(t) v(t) \\ &< 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Therefore, $(D_+^\alpha z(t)) v(t)$ is a strictly decreasing in $[t_1, \infty)$. We claim that $D_+^\alpha z(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. If not, then $D_+^\alpha z(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Therefore, there exists a $T \geq t_1$ such that

$$(D_+^\alpha z(t)) v(t) < (D_+^\alpha z(T)) v(T) := -C < 0, \quad t > T. \quad (22)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 in (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K'(t)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} &= D_+^\alpha z(t) < \frac{-C}{v(t)} = -C \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t r(s) ds \right) \\ \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t r(s) ds \right) &< -\frac{K'(t)}{C\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad t \geq T. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Now, we integrating (3.14) from T to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^s r(s) ds \right) dt &< \frac{-K(t) + K(T)}{C\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \\ &< \frac{K(T)}{C\Gamma(1-\alpha)} := K_1. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts to (3.1). Hence $D_+^\alpha z(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$ holds.

We define the generalized Riccati function $w(t)$ by

$$w(t) = \frac{(D_+^\alpha z(t)v(t))}{F(K(t))}, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (25)$$

we have $w(t) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= \frac{((D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t))'}{F(K(t))} - \frac{(D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t)F'(K(t))K'(t)}{F^2(K(t))} \\ &\leq \frac{(D_+^{1+\alpha}z(t))v(t) - (D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t)r(t)}{F(K(t))} - \frac{\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)D_+^\alpha z(t)v(t)D_+^\alpha z(t)}{F^2(K(t))} \\ &\leq \frac{-r(t)(D_+^\alpha V(t))w(t)}{D_+^\alpha z(t)} - p(t)v(t) - w(t)r(t) - \frac{\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)w^2(t)}{v(t)} \\ &\leq -p(t)v(t) - w(t)r(t) - \frac{\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)w^2(t)}{v(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Taking $m=2$,

$$X = \sqrt{\frac{\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{v(t)}}w(t), \quad Y = \frac{r(t)\sqrt{v(t)}}{2\sqrt{\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)}}. \quad (27)$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and (3.18) in (3.17), we get

$$w'(t) \leq -p(t)v(t) + \frac{(r(t))^2 v(t)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality from t_1 to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \left[p(s)v(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &\leq - \int_{t_1}^t w'(s) ds \\ &= w(t_1) - w(t) \\ &< w(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit supremum of both sides of the above inequality as $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^t \left[p(s)v(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds < w(t_1) < \infty$$

which contradicts (3.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(A_1) - (A_5)$, (3.1) hold and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[\rho p(s)v(s) - \frac{v(s)(r(s))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds = \infty, \quad t_0 > 0. \quad (28)$$

Then every solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2) is oscillatory in G .

Proof. Assume that there is a non-oscillatory solution $u(x, t)$ to the problem (1.1), (1.2) which has no zero in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $u(x, t) > 0$, $u(x, t - \tau_j) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \sigma) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$ for $t_1 \geq t_0$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get (3.11) and $D_+^\alpha z(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$.

Define the function as follows, $w_1(t)$ by

$$w_1(t) = \frac{D_+^\alpha z(t)v(t)}{K(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (29)$$

Then, $w_1(t) > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1'(t) &= \frac{((D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t))'}{K(t)} - \frac{(D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t)K'(t)}{K^2(t)} \\ &= \frac{(D_+^{1+\alpha} z(t))v(t) - (D_+^\alpha z(t))v(t)r(t)}{K(t)} - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)D_+^\alpha z(t)v(t)D_+^\alpha z(t)}{K^2(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{-r(t)(D_+^\alpha V(t))v(t)}{K(t)} - \rho p(t)v(t) - w_1(t)r(t) - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)w_1^2(t)}{v(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -\rho p(t)v(t) - w_1(t)r(t) - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)w_1^2(t)}{v(t)} \\ &\leq -\rho p(t)v(t) + \frac{v(t)(r(t))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

By integrating (3.22) from t_1 to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \left[\rho p(s)v(s) - \frac{v(s)(r(s))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &\leq - \int_{t_1}^t w_1'(s) ds \\ &= w_1(t_1) - w_1(t) \\ &= w_1(t_1) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.19). Hence the proof is complete. \square

Next, we will discuss some new oscillation criteria for (1.1) by using integral averaging conditions of Philo's type.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that the conditions $(A_1)-(A_5)$ hold. Furthermore, suppose that there exists a function $H \in C(D, R)$ where $D := \{(t, s) : t \geq s \geq t_0\}$ such that*

1. $H(t, t) = 0$ for $t \geq t_0$,

2. $H(t, s) > 0$ for $(t, s) \in D_0$, where $D_0 = \{(t, s) : t > s \geq t_0\}$.

H has a continuous and non positive partial derivative $H'_s(t, s) = \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s}$ on D_0 with respect to the second variable and satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[\rho p(s)v(s) - \frac{v(s)(r(s))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds = \infty. \quad (32)$$

Then all solutions of (1.1), (1.2) is oscillatory in G .

Proof. Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1), (1.2). Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $u(x, t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), (1.2). We proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, to get that (3.22)

$$w'_1(t) \leq \left[-\rho p(t)v(t) + \frac{v(t)(r(t))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right]$$

Multiplying the previous inequality by $H(t, s)$ and integrating from t_1 to t for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[\rho p(s)v(s) - \frac{v(s)(r(s))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &\leq -[H(t, s)w_1(s)]_{t_1}^t + \int_{t_1}^t H'_s(t, s)w_1(s)ds \\ &< H(t, t_1)w_1(t_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H(t, t_1)} \int_{t_1}^t H(t, s) \left[\rho p(s)v(s) - \frac{v(s)(r(s))^2}{4\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &< w_1(t_1) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction to (3.23). The proof is complete. \square

4. OSCILLATION OF THE PROBLEM (1.1), (1.3)

In this section we establish some new criteria for the oscillations of the solutions of the equation (1.1),(1.3). For this we need the following.

The smallest eigenvalue β_0 of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\omega(x) + \beta\omega(x) &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \omega(x) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}$$

is positive and the corresponding eigen function $\phi(x)$ is positive in Ω .

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then every solution of the problem (1.1),(1.3) is oscillatory in G .*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there is a non-oscillatory solution $u(x, t)$ to the problem (1.1), (1.3) which has no zero in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $u(x, t) > 0$, $u(x, t - \tau_j) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \sigma) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$ for $t_1 \geq t_0$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l$. Multiplying both sides of (1.1) by $\phi(x)$ and integrating over the domain Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\Omega} D_{+,t}^{1+\alpha} [u(x, t) + \lambda(t)u(x, t - \sigma)] \phi(x) dx + r(t) \int_{\Omega} D_{+,t}^{\alpha} u(x, t) \phi(x) dx \\&= a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t) \phi(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^l a_j(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t - \tau_j) \phi(x) dx \\&- \int_{\Omega} p(x, t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x, s) ds \right) \phi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) \phi(x) dx, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (33)\end{aligned}$$

Using Green's formula and (1.3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t) \phi(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \Delta \phi(x) dx \\&= -\beta_0 \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x) dx \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1\end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t - \tau_j) \phi(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau_j) \Delta \phi(x) dx \\
&= -\beta_0 \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau_j) \phi(x) dx \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$U(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x) dx, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (36)$$

By using Jensen's inequality and (A_3) , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} p(x, t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x, s) ds \right) \phi(x) dx \\
&\geq p(t) F \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} u(x, s) \phi(x) ds \right) dx \right) \\
&\geq p(t) F \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x, s) \phi(x) dx \right) ds \right) \\
&\geq p(t) F(K(t)), \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.2) – (4.5), (4.1) yields,

$$D_+^{1+\alpha} [z(t)] + r(t) D_+^{\alpha} U(t) + p(t) F(K(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1. \quad (38)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the Theorem 3.1. \square

The following Theorems can be proved analogously.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Then every solution of the problem (1.1), (1.3) is oscillatory in G .*

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold. Then every solution of the problem (1.1), (1.3) is oscillatory in G .*

5. EXAMPLE

In this section, we give some examples to illustrate our main theorem in section 3.

Example 5.1. We consider the fractional partial differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{+,t}^{1+\frac{1}{2}} \left[u(x,t) + \frac{1}{9} u(x, t - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] + \frac{1}{t} D_{+,t}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x,t) &= \frac{8}{9\sqrt{2}} \Delta u(x,t) + \frac{1}{t} \Delta u(x, t - \frac{3\pi}{4}) \\
 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} [\cos t C(x) + \sin t S(x)]} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u(x,s) ds &- \frac{10}{9\sqrt{2}} \sin x \sin t + 1 \\
 \text{for } (x,t) \in G, \text{ where } G &= (0, \pi) \times [0, \infty),
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with the boundary condition

$$u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{40}$$

Take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, n = 1, m = 1, \lambda(t) = \frac{1}{9}, \sigma = \frac{\pi}{2}, r(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \tau_1 = \frac{3\pi}{4}, a(t) = \frac{8}{9\sqrt{2}}, a_1(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \mu = 1, p(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} [\cos t C(x) + \sin t S(x)]}$,

where $C(x)$ and $S(x)$ are the Fresnel integrals namely,

$$C(x) = \int_0^x \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi t^2 \right) dt, S(x) = \int_0^x \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi t^2 \right) dt,$$

$$F(u) = u \text{ and } f(x, t) = -\frac{10}{9\sqrt{2}} \sin x \sin t + 1, t > \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{9\sqrt{2}\pi}{20} \right), \mu = 1.$$

But $|C(x)| \leq \pi$ and $|S(x)| \leq \pi$

$$p(t) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2\pi} [\cos t + \sin t]}.$$

It is clear that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ hold. $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{s} ds) dt = \infty$ and $v(t) = \frac{t_0}{t}$.

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_1}^t \left[v(s)p(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &= \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{t_0}{s} \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{[\cos s + \sin s]} - \frac{1}{s^3} \frac{t_0}{4\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right] ds \\
 &> \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{t_0}{s} \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{s^3} \frac{t_0}{4\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right] ds \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence every solutions of equations (5.1), (5.2) oscillates in $(0, \pi) \times [0, \infty)$. In fact $u(x, t) = \sin x \cos t$ is such a solution.

Example 5.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{+,t}^{1+\frac{1}{2}} \left[u(x,t) + \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}} u(x,t-2\pi) \right] + \frac{1}{t} D_{+,t}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x,t) \\
&= \left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}))^2} t^{\frac{-7}{4}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} t^{\frac{-5}{4}} + \frac{t^{\frac{-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \Delta u(x,t) \\
&+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}))^2} t^{\frac{-3}{4}} + \frac{t^{\frac{-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \Delta u(x, t - \frac{\pi}{2}) \\
&- \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{\pi} [\sin t C(x) - \cos t S(x)]} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{-1}{2}} u(x,s) ds - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} t^{\frac{-5}{4}} \cos x \sin t \\
&+ \frac{\cos x}{t\sqrt{2}} [\sin t + \cos t] \text{ for } (x,t) \in G, \text{ where } G = (0, \pi) \times [0, \infty), \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

with the boundary condition

$$u_x(0,t) = u_x(\pi,t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{42}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, n = 1, m = 1, \lambda(t) = \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \sigma = 2\pi, r(t) = \frac{1}{t}, \tau_1 = \frac{\pi}{2},$

$$a(t) = \left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}))^2} t^{\frac{-7}{4}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} t^{\frac{-5}{4}} + \frac{t^{\frac{-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right], a_1(t) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}))^2} t^{\frac{-3}{4}} + \frac{t^{\frac{-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right],$$

$$p(x,t) = \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{\pi} [\sin t C(x) - \cos t S(x)]}$$

where $C(x)$ and $S(x)$ are the Fresnel integrals namely,

$$C(x) = \int_0^x \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi t^2 \right) dt, S(x) = \int_0^x \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi t^2 \right) dt,$$

$F(u) = u$ and

$$f(x,t) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} t^{\frac{-5}{4}} \cos x \sin t + \frac{\cos x}{t\sqrt{2}} [\sin t + \cos t], \quad \mu = 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$p_1(t) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} = \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} = \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{\pi} [\sin t C(x) - \cos t S(x)]},$$

$v(t) = \frac{t_0}{t}$. But $|C(x)| \leq \pi$ and $|S(x)| \leq \pi$. Therefore, $p_1(t) = \frac{\sin t}{2\pi\sqrt{\pi} [\sin t - \cos t]}$.

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_1}^t \left[v(s)p(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds &= \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{t_0}{s} \frac{\sin s}{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{[\sin s - \cos s]} - \frac{1}{s^3} \frac{t_0}{4\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right] ds \\
&> \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{t_0}{s} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{1}{s^3} \frac{t_0}{4\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right] ds \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem (3.1) are satisfied. Then every solution of the problem (5.3), (5.4) oscillates in $(0, \pi) \times [0, \infty)$. Infact $u(x, t) = \cos x \sin t$ is one such solution of (5.3), (5.4).

Example 5.3. we consider the fractional partial differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} D_{+,t}^{1+\frac{1}{2}} \left[u(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} u(x, t - \frac{\pi}{2}) \right] + D_{+,t}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x, t) &= 2\Delta u(x, t) + 3\Delta u(x, t - \pi) \\ &- \frac{1}{4t} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u(x, s) ds \right)^2 - \frac{3x}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + x^2 \\ &\text{for } (x, t) \in G, \text{ where } G = (0, \pi) \times [0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with the boundary condition

$$u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (44)$$

Here $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $n = 1$, $m = 1$, $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $r(t) = 1$, $\tau_1 = \pi$, $a(t) = 2$, $a_1(t) = 3$, $p(x, t) = \frac{1}{4t} F(u) = u^2$ and $f(x, t) = -\frac{3x}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + x^2$, where $t > \frac{3^{\frac{4}{3}}}{4\pi}$, $\mu = 1$. It is clear that conditions $(A_1) - (A_5)$ hold. $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp(\int_{t_0}^t ds) dt = \infty$ and $v(t) = e^{t_0-t}$. Consider,

$$\int_{t_1}^t \left[v(s)p(s) - \frac{(r(s))^2 v(s)}{4\mu\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \right] ds = \int_{t_1}^t \left[\frac{e^{t_0} e^{-s}}{2\sqrt{t}} - \frac{e^{t_0} e^{-s}}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \right] < \infty$$

It is easy to see that conditions of Theorem 3.1 are not satisfied. Hence every solutions of equations (5.5), (5.6) need not oscillate in $(0, \pi) \times [0, \infty)$. In fact, $u(x, t) = x$ is not a oscillatory solution.

Remark 1 The results obtain in this paper can be considered as generalization of those results in paper [33]. In fact, $\lambda(t) = 0$, $F(u) = u$, $f(x, t) = 0$. Our equation (1.1) reduces to equation (2.1) in [33].

Remark 2 Note that the results by [33] cannot be applied to the equations (5.1), (5.3) and (5.5). So our results improve the results in [33].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, G. M. NGuerekata, Topics in fractional differential equations, Springer, Newyork, (2012).
- [2] D. Bainov, B. T. Cui and E. Minchev, Forced oscillation of hyperbolic equations with deviating arguments, *The journal of computational and applied mathematics* , Vol.72, (1996), pp. 309-318.
- [3] B. T. Cui, Oscillation properties for parabolic equations of neutral type, *Commentationes mathematicae universitatis carolinae*, Vol.33, (1992), pp.581-588.
- [4] B. T. Cui, Oscillation properties of the solutions of hyperbolic equations with deviating arguments, *Demonstration Math.*, Vol.29, (1996), pp.61-68.
- [5] B. T. Cui, Y. H. Yu and S. Z. Lin, Oscillation of solutions of delay hyperbolic differential equations, *Acta mathematicae applicatae sinica.*, Vol.19, (1996), pp.80-88.(in chinese).
- [6] B. S. Lalli, Y. H. Yu and B. T. Cui, Oscillation of hyperbolic equations with functional arguments, *Applied mathematics and computation*, Vol.53, (1993), pp. 97-110.
- [7] Da-Xue Chen, Oscillatory behavior of a class of fractional differential equations with damping, *U.P.B.Scientific bulletin, Series A*, Vol.75, Iss.1, (2013).
- [8] S. Das, Functional fractional calculus for system identification and controls, Springer, Berlin, (2012).
- [9] K. Diethelm, The analysis of fractional differential equations, Springer, Berlin, (2010).
- [10] Q. Feng and F. Meng, Oscillation of solutions to nonlinear forced fractional differential equations, *Electronic journal of differential equations*, Vol.2013, No.169, (2013), pp.1-10.
- [11] X. L. Fu, W. Zhuang, Oscillation of neutral delay parabolic equations, *J. Math. Anal.Appl.*, Vol.191, (1995), pp.473-489.
- [12] V. Ganesan and M. Sathiskumar, Oscillation theorems for fractional order neutral differential equations, *International journal of math.sci and engg.Appls*, Vol.10, (2016), pp.23-27.
- [13] S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, P. J. Y. Wong and A. Zaffer, On the oscillation of fractional differential equations, *Fractional calculus and applied Analysis*, Vol.15, No.2, (2012), pp 222-231.
- [14] I. Györi and Ladas, Oscillation theory of delay-differential equations, Clarendon press, Oxford, (1991).
- [15] J. K. Hale, Partial neutral functional-differential equations, (English. English Summary) *Revue roumaine des mathematiques pures et appliquees*, Vol.39, No.4, (1994), pp. 339-344.
- [16] J. K. Hale, Theory of functional differential equations, Springer-Verlag, Newyork, (1977).

- [17] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, (1988).
- [18] S. Harikrishnan, P. Prakash and J. J. Nieto, Forced oscillation of solutions of a nonlinear fractional partial differential equation, *Applied mathematics and computation*, Vol.254, (2015), pp 14-19.
- [19] Jizhong Wang, Fanwei Meng, and Sanyang Liu, Integral average method for oscillation of second order partial differential equations with delays, *Applied mathematics and computation*, Vol.187, (2007), pp. 815-823.
- [20] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier science B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Vol. 204, (2006).
- [21] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1993).
- [22] D. P. Mishev and D. D. Bainov, Oscillation of the solutions of parabolic differential equations of neutral type, *Applied mathematics and computation*, Vol.28, (1998), pp. 97-111.
- [23] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic press, San Diego, Calif, USA, (1999).
- [24] P. Prakash, S. Harikrishnan, J. J. Nieto and J. H. Kim, Oscillation of a time fractional partial differential equation, *Electronic journal of qualitative theory of differential equations*, Vol.15, (2014), pp.1-10.
- [25] A. Raheem and MD. Maqbul, Oscillation criteria for impulsive partial fractional differential equations, *Computer and mathematics with applications*, Vol.73, No.8, (2017), pp.1781-1788.
- [26] V. Sadhasivam and J. Kavitha, Forced oscillation for a class of fractional parabolic partial differential equations, *Journal of advances in mathematics*, Vol.11, No.6, (2015), pp.5369-5381.
- [27] V. Sadhasivam, J. Kavitha and N. Nagajothi, Oscillation of neutral fractional order partial differential equations with damping term, *International journal of pure and applied mathematics*, Vol.115, No.9, (2017), pp.47-64.
- [28] S. H. Saker, *Oscillation theory of delay differential and difference equations*, VDM Verlag Dr.Muller Aktiengesellschaft and Co, USA, 2010.
- [29] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives, Theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach Science publishers, Singapore, (1993).

- [30] Varsha Daftardar-Gejji, Fractional calculus theory and applications, Narosa publishing house pvt.Ltd, (2014).
- [31] E. Vasily Tarasov, Fractional dynamics, Springer, (2009).
- [32] Wei Nian Li, Forced oscillation criteria for a class of fractional Partial differential equations with damping term, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 410904, (2015), pp.1-6.
- [33] Wei Nian Li and Weihong Sheng, Oscillation properties for solutions of a kind of Partial fractional differential equations with damping term, *Journal of nonlinear science and applications*, (2016), pp.1600-1608.
- [34] J. Wu, Theory and applications of partial functional differential equations, Applied mathematical sciences, 119, Springer-Verlag, Newyork, (1996).
- [35] N. Yoshida, Oscillation Theory of partial differential equations, World scientific publishing, Singapore, (2008).
- [36] A. I. Zahariev and D. D. Bainov, Oscillating properties of the solution of a class of neutral type functional differential equations, *Bulletin of the australian mathematical society*, Vol.22, (1980), pp.365-372.
- [37] Y. Zhou, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, World scientific, Singapore, (2014).